

E-Filed on 7/23/09

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600  
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996  
Facsimile (702) 949-8321  
Telephone (702) 949-8320

Rob Charles NV State Bar No. 006593  
Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com  
John Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 018024  
Email: jhinderaker@lrlaw.com  
Marvin Ruth NV State Bar No. 10979  
Email: mruth@lrlaw.com

Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

In re:

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE  
COMPANY,

USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS,  
LLC,<sup>1</sup>

USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST  
DEED FUND, LLC,

USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED  
FUND, LLC,<sup>2</sup>

USA SECURITIES, LLC,<sup>3</sup>  
Debtors.

Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR  
Case No. BK-S-06-10726-LBR<sup>1</sup>  
Case No. BK-S-06-10727-LBR  
Case No. BK-S-06-10728-LBR<sup>2</sup>  
Case No. BK-S-06-10729-LBR<sup>3</sup>

CHAPTER 11

Jointly Administered Under Case No.  
BK-S-06-10725 LBR

**SECOND OMNIBUS OBJECTION  
OF USACM TRUST TO PROOFS OF  
CLAIM BASED UPON  
INVESTMENT IN THE BAY  
POMPAÑO BEACH LOAN**

Date of Hearing: August 21, 2009  
Time of Hearing: 9:30 a.m.

**Affects:**

- ☐ All Debtors  
☒ USA Commercial Mortgage Company  
☐ USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC  
☐ USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC  
☐ USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC  
☐ USA Securities, LLC

The USACM Liquidating Trust (the "USACM Trust") moves this Court, pursuant to § 502 of title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), for an order disallowing the Proofs of Claim filed by individual investors ("Direct Lenders")

<sup>1</sup> This bankruptcy case was closed on September 23, 2008.

<sup>2</sup> This bankruptcy case was closed on October 12, 2007.

<sup>3</sup> This bankruptcy case was closed on December 21, 2007.

1 against USA Commercial Mortgage Company (“USACM”) to the extent such claims are  
2 based upon an investment in the Bay Pompano Beach Loan (the “Pompano Loan”). This  
3 Objection is supported by the Court’s record and the Declaration of Edward M. Burr in  
4 Support of Omnibus Objections to Proofs of Claim Based Upon the Investment in the Bay  
5 Pompano Beach Loan filed with the Court today (the “Burr Decl.”).

## 6 **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

### 7 **I. BACKGROUND**

8 1. On April 13, 2006 (“Petition Date”), USA Commercial Mortgage Company  
9 (“USACM”), USA Securities, LLC, USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC, USA Capital  
10 Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC, and USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC  
11 (collectively, the “Debtors”), filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the  
12 Bankruptcy Code. Debtors continued to operate their businesses, if any, as debtors-in-  
13 possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtors’ post-  
14 petition management of the Debtors was under the direction of Thomas J. Allison of  
15 Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC (“Mesirow”), who served as the Chief  
16 Restructuring Officer.

17 2. USACM is a Nevada corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the  
18 business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans  
19 primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account.

20 3. That business included the solicitation of individual investors to purchase  
21 fractional interest in loans that USACM originated and then serviced. These investors,  
22 totaling approximately 3,600 as of the Petition Date, are referred to as “Direct Lenders” in  
23 USACM’s bankruptcy case and in this Objection.

24 4. On September 14, 2006, the Court entered its Order Setting Deadline to File  
25 Proofs of Claim and Proofs of Interest [Docket No. 1280] (the “Bar Date Order”). The  
26

1 Bar Date Order established 5:00 p.m., prevailing Pacific Time, on November 13, 2006, as  
2 the deadline (“Bar Date”) for creditors to file proof of claims.

3 5. On September 25, 2006, Debtors served a copy of the Bar Date Order on  
4 their service lists [Docket No. 1358]. All Creditors were served with a copy of the Bar  
5 Date order as well [Docket No. 1358].

6 6. On November 6, 2006, a stipulation was filed and an order entered extending  
7 the Bar Date for Direct Lenders only to file proofs of claim until January 13, 2007 [Docket  
8 No. 1729].

9 7. On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the “Debtors’  
10 Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization” as Modified Herein [Docket No.  
11 2376] (the “Confirmation Order”).

12 8. As part of the Plan, USACM sold the servicing rights to most of the loans it  
13 serviced, including the Pompano Loan, to Compass Financial Partners, LLC. The sale to  
14 Compass Financial Partners closed on February 16, 2007.

15 9. Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the successor to USACM with respect  
16 to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of Claims.

17 10. On information and belief, Silar Advisors foreclosed on its loan(s) to  
18 Compass Financial Partners in September 2008 and alleges that it has become the  
19 successor servicer to the Pompano Loan and the Direct Lenders thereto

20 11. Under the Plan, unsecured claims of Direct Lenders against USACM are  
21 classified in Class A-5. Allowed Unsecured Claims “shall receive a beneficial interest in  
22 the USACM Trust, and on account of their Allowed Claim may receive a Pro Rata Share  
23 of the assets of the USACM Trust after satisfaction of all Allowed unclassified Claims,  
24 Allowed Class A-1, A-2, and A-3 Claims, and all post-Effective Date fees, costs, and  
25 expenses of implementation of the USACM Plan for USACM and the USACM Trust.”  
26

1           12.     The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was  
2     March 12, 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee.

3           13.     **Exhibit A** attached, lists Proofs of Claim filed by Direct Lenders that appear  
4     to be based entirely upon an investment in the Pompano Loan. (Burr Decl. ¶ 7.) **Exhibit**  
5     **A** identifies the Proof of Claim number, the claimant, the claimant's address, the total  
6     amount of the claim and the total amount of the claim that appears to be related to an  
7     investment in the Pompano Loan based upon the information provided by the claimant.  
8     (Burr Decl. ¶ 7.) The claims listed in **Exhibit A** are referred to hereafter as the Pompano  
9     Claims.

10           **II.     JURISDICTION**

11           14.     The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334  
12     and 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core  
13     proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

14           15.     The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502  
15     and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

16           **III.    APPLICABLE AUTHORITY**

17           16.     Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been  
18     filed will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the  
19     proof of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the  
20     Claim and shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is "unenforceable  
21     against the debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such  
22     claim is contingent or unmatured." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b).

23           17.     The USACM Trust is entitled to object to proofs of claim under 11 U.S.C.  
24     § 502(a). This objection is timely under the confirmed Plan, as the deadline for such  
25     objections has been extended to October 7, 2009, by this Court's orders.  
26

18. A properly filed proof of claim is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof of claim controverts the presumption, the creditor ultimately bears the burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.)*, 178 B.R. 222, 226 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1995), *aff'd*, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996). The ultimate burden of proof as to the validity of a proof of claim “remains at all times upon the claimant.” *Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialists, Inc. (In re Lundell)*, 223 F.3d 1035, 1039 (9th Cir. 2000).

#### IV. THE POMPANO LOAN DIRECT LENDERS

19. On June 20, 2005, Bay Pompano Beach, LLC made and delivered to various lenders, including the Direct Lenders identified in **Exhibit A**, a Promissory Note, in an amount of \$32,000,000 (the “Note”).

20. The Pompano Loan is secured by a first position Mortgage, Security Agreement and Assignment of Rents (“Mortgage”) on real property and improvements thereon, as described in the Mortgage. The Mortgage was recorded on June 30, 2005 at Instrument No. 105140658 in the Official Records of the Broward County Commission, Florida.

21. Upon information and belief, the Pompano Loan was fully secured at the time of the loan and was fully secured at the time USACM’s servicing rights were sold to Compass Partners.

22. Upon information and belief, the property securing the Pompano Loan had an approximate value of \$30,100,000 as of May 25, 2006 based on an appraisal by Hilco Real Estate Appraisal, LLC dated June 16, 2006.

23. The Loan defaulted on June 19, 2006.

## V. THE OBJECTIONS

24. The Direct Lenders fail to state a claim as the Direct Lenders have not been damaged by USACM. Upon information and belief, the Direct Lenders are owed the following as of July 1, 2009:

\$3,387,598 Principal

\$4,545,208      Accrued Interest

\$7,932,806 Total of above

Thus, even assuming the Direct Lenders are entitled to all of the above amounts, the Pompano Loan appears to have been fully secured at the time USACM sold its servicing rights, and fully secured at the time the Pompano Loan was entered into.

25. The Trust objects to the Direct Lenders' claims to the extent they are based on repaid principal.

26. The Direct Lenders fail to state a claim as USACM has not breached the servicing contract. USACM was under no duty to foreclose on the property securing the Pompano Loan, though a Notice of Default was sent to the Borrower and guarantors during the pendency of the USACM bankruptcy and prior to the sale of the servicing rights to Compass Financial Partners. To the extent the property's value has dropped since USACM sold the servicing rights pursuant to the Plan of Reorganization, USACM is no longer a party to any servicing contract and consequently has no rights, duties, or obligations thereunder.

27. USACM did not guarantee the Direct Lenders' investment in the Pompano Loan. The Direct Lenders took a known risk by investing. Accordingly, USACM is not liable for the Borrower's default or any decrease in the value of the collateral caused by the downturn in the real estate market.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**VI. CONCLUSION**

The USACM Trust respectfully requests that the Court disallow the claims listed in **Exhibit A** based upon a Direct Lender investment in the Pompano Loan. The USACM Trust also requests such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Dated: July 23, 2009.

LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

By /s/ Marvin Ruth (#10979)

Rob Charles, NV 6593

John Hinderaker, AZ 18024 (*pro hac vice*)

Marvin Ruth, NV 10979

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600

Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

Telephone: (702) 949-8200

Facsimile: (702) 949-8398

E-mail: [mruth@lrlaw.com](mailto:mruth@lrlaw.com)

*Attorneys for the USACM Liquidating Trust*

Copy of the foregoing and pertinent portion of Exhibit A mailed by first class postage prepaid U.S. Mail on July 23, 2009 to all parties listed on Exhibit A attached.

LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

/s/ Carrie Lawrence

Carrie Lawrence